## Water Supply and Demand Status of Areka Town, Southern Ethiopia

Wondimu Elias Worajo<sup>1</sup>, Tadewos Adema Amona<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wolaita Sodo University, Department of Hydraulic Engineering, 138, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia

<sup>2</sup>Wolaita Sodo University, Department of Hydraulic Engineering, 138, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia

<sup>1</sup>wndmelias488@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>tewodrosamona@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Water is one of the vital necessity or the need for human being and for all living things. This study was conducted to assess the existing and to forecast the future water supply and demand status of the Areka town, southern Ethiopia. A total of 196 households (HHs) were randomly selected and interviewed by using a questionnaire. To collect the required data, interview and observation were used and written documents were reviewed. Data analyzed by SPSS and Excel spread sheet. The town population was growing rapidly, but water production was low, i.e. only 720m<sup>3</sup>/d. This covers only 51.9% of the total population. Additional water production of 26.49 l/s (2288.7m<sup>3</sup>/d) was required to fill the gap. Available per capita water demand, i.e. 11.48l/c/d was small as compared to WHO basic access standard, i.e. 20 l/c/d. The total revenue water and non-revenue water from the existing water production were 525.18m<sup>3</sup>/d and 194.81m<sup>3</sup>/d, respectively. About 48% of HHs got their primary water from existing water supply lines and the remaining 52% got their primary water from and emand gap, expansion of water supply services and additional water sources should be provided.

Keywords-Areka town, Ethiopia, supply coverage, water supply, water demand, water production

## I. INTRODUCTION

Water is one of the vital necessity or the need for human being and for all living things (Temesgen M., 2018). Many countries in both the developed and developing world face significant problems in maintaining reliable water supplies and this is expected to continue in future years due in part to the impacts of global climate change. Growing populations will further increase the demand for water, with limited cost-effective water supply augmentation options (Dharmaratna D, Harris E , 2010). The access of enhanced clean water supply is one of the major factors that greatly contribute to the socio-economic transfer of country by improving the life style and health, thereby escalating life standard and economic productivity of the society (Bogale, 2016). In the world fresh water that has been used for household (HH) purpose is not >3% of the natural resource available water the earth on (WHO/UNICEF, 2017). This is very small in amount of wholesome water, compared with the saline water body existson earth. As a result, millions of people in the world are facing a shortage of adequate safe drinking water (DW) in terms of quantity and quality. The provision of adequate supplies of potable water for use in urban areas in developing countries is crucial for the well-being of the people. The demand for such supplies in the developing countries has been increased over time as a result of rising standards of living that occur with economic progress

and population increase resulting from natural growth, and rural urban migration and rising per capita income (Rewata J, Sampath R, 2000).

The majority of the developing countries in Africa and Asia are greatly affected by the problems of access to clean and safe potable DW supply (UN, 2012). However, most of the developing countries like Ethiopia still unable to obtain adequate potable water and have low potable DW supply coverage. Ethiopia is very well- known by its massive water resource potential all of which is generated in its own and it is still known as the water tower of Africa (Makonnen A, 2014). But, access of potable DW supply coverage in Ethiopia is amongst the lowest in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), as well as the entire world (57.3% in 2015). Currently, majority of the existing Ethiopian urban water supply and sanitation system designs are absolute but the rate of rehabilitation and expansion has been lagging behind. Estimates revealed that about 52% of the population in Ethiopia traveled half an hour or more to collect water every day (CSA, 2007). This long travel distance to the nearest water source directly affects women and children who are mainly responsible for fetching water. It is difficult for most girls and children to attend and succeed in school since a considerable amount of their time is used for domestic chores, including fetching water. This has an implication on the productivity of women.

According to the UN-Water, in 2017 only 11% of the population used a safely managed DW service on their premises. Another 30% used a "basic service" (<30 min round trip for water collection), with the remainder having to rely on "limited service" (>30 min), "unimproved sources" (*e.g.* unprotected dug wells or springs) or surface water. Only 7% of the population used a safely managed sanitation service, 7% only had "limited service" (latrines shared with other HHs), 63% had to rely on "unimproved service" (*e.g.* a simple pit or a bucket) and 22% defecate in the open

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Water\_supply\_and\_san itation\_in\_Ethiopia#Access).

In Ethiopia, all sources confirm that water supply coverage is on a strong upward trajectory. According to official government data, water supply coverage has risen from 19 % in 1990 (11% rural, 70% urban) to 66 % in 2009 (62% rural, 89% urban) (UNICEF, 2011). According to the report of Ministry of Health and Ministry of Water and Energy, access to safe

- A. Objectives
- 1) Objective of the study
- 2) Specific Objectives
- 1. To evaluate the functionality and service level of the existing water supply system in the town,
- 2. To evaluate the source type, status and coverage of water supply in the area,
- 3. To evaluate the water production and consumption of the town
- 4. To forecast future water demand scenario in the area
  - II. MATERIALS AND METHODS
  - B. Study area description

Areka is a town in southern part of Ethiopia. Itis located in the Wolayita Zone of the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples' Region some 300 km southwest of the capital Addis Ababa. This town has a latitude and longitude of 7°4′N 37°42′E and an elevation of 1,774 m above sea level. It is the DW increased from 23.1% in 1998 to 68.5% in 2012. However, millions of people in urban and rural areas have not been getting safe DW from an improved water source. In the case of Southern Nation Nationalities and Peoples' Regional State (SNNPRS), clean water supply access in terms of coverage reached 53.9%. Major problems of water supply in urban centers of Ethiopia include low production levels, inadequate distribution systems and leakages in many areas (Mandefro C, Habtamu K, 2017).

This study conducted inone of thesmall developing towns in Ethiopia, viz. Areka town, Southern Ethiopia, in which there is a growing demand for water and sanitation services due to growing populations, rising standards of living and per capita incomes. However, the demand for water in the town is growing much faster than the supply. The cause for this problem is that the existing water supply systems in the towns are not performing as intended by its design.

The main aim of this study was to assess the existing and to forecast the future water supply and demand status or scenario of the Areka town.

administrative center of Boloso Sore Woreda. Areka is served by a sub-office of the Ethiopian postal service. The town was founded in 1959, and a primary school opened in the town in 1962. The Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research opened a center in Areka in 1985 dedicated to improving the yield of enset. Based on figures from the Central Statistical Agency in 2007, this town has an estimated total population of 31,408 (15,795 men and 15,613 women). The 1994 national census reported this town had a total population of 12,294 (5,970 males and 6,324 females). Areka features a Tropical Savanna Climate with an average rainfall reaches 1,290 mm (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Areka).



FIGURE 1: LOCATIONAL MAP OF STUDY AREA

## C. Data and data collection method

The survey (quantitative and qualitative methods) is designed to explain concepts and measures to demonstrate implications of the issue under question. Primary data was collected from respondents using questionnaires and the personal field observationswere employed to supplement Structured respondents' response. and semistructured questionnaire were prepared for the survey. The questionnaire included an introductory part, questions on the characteristics/attributes of the respondents, water sources and accessibility, potable water coverage and water supply satisfaction. Secondary data (i.e. existing water supply status like source potential, system input volume, design parameters, existing modes of services, etc.) were collected from design documents and related literature.

# D. Sample size, sampling method and data analysis

In order to determine the sample size that represents the population, a mathematical formula suggested by (Fink A, Kosecoff J, 1995) was employed as presented:

$$N = \frac{Z^2 pq}{d^2} = \frac{(1.96)^2 * 0.519 * 0.481}{(0.07)^2} = 196$$

Where N =Sample size, Z = 95% confidence level corresponds to the value 1.96, p = 0.519 (water supply coverage of the town), q = 1-p and d = proportion ofsampling error tolerated at 0.07. Therefore, 196 samples HHs were selected from a total of 27,508 HHs of the town.. The field data collection consisting of interviews of the selected sample HHs from 3 sub cities (viz. Arada, Mehal and SefereSelam); to collect the questionnaire based data from the end- users of the towns' water supply service, a systematic and purposive sampling technique were applied. In each sub cities, the criteria like socio- economic growth (i.e. high, medium and low ), the areas with different conditions of the water supply service (i.e. with access and without access) to existing water supply service and connection type (House connection, yard- owned connection, yard- shared connection, public tap and others) were applied to select the sample HHs. The computer software applications SPSS (Statistical

#### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS E. Water production and consumption

The town has three sub cities (i.e. Arada, Mehal and SefereSelam). The total population of the town was 120,560 (i.e. male = 60,514, female = 60,046).From the total population, only 62,571 people get safe and potable water from the existing water supply (Table 1). This figure shows the water supply coverage is 51.9%. The main source of water for the town is ground water and a spring with a total production capacity of 262,800 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (720m<sup>3</sup>/day; Table 2). The TABLE I: WATER SUPPLY COVERAGE

Package for Social Science) and Micro soft Excel were used to analyze the data.

total /capita water in the town was 11.48 L/capita/day. This result still very low as compared to WHO basic access within 30min round trip, i.e. 20 L/capita/day. The total water demand of the town to be in WHO basic access is 1,253.82 m<sup>3</sup>/day. Currently the gap between supply and demand is 533.83m<sup>3</sup>/day. It means within the current water supply coverage of 52%, an additional water source with a total capacity of 533.83m<sup>3</sup>/day is needed for a served population to be within the WHO water supply basic access.

Total population	Water Supply coverage (%)	Served population	Not served population
120,560	51.9	62,571	57,989

TABLE II: EXISTING WATER PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION OF THE TOWN

System input volume	Authorized consumption (191 844 m <sup>3</sup> /vr)	Billed authorized ( <b>191,691.06m³/yr</b> )	Revenue water (RW) (191,691.06m³/yr)
(262,800m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	(1)1,014 m (51)	Unbilled authorized (152.94 m3/yr)	Non-revenue water (NRW) (71,108.94 m <sup>3</sup> /yr)
	Water loss ( <b>70,956 m³/yr</b> )	Apparent loss (22,232.94 m <sup>3</sup> /yr) Real loss (48,723.06 m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	

Real Loss =  $48,723.06 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr}$  (Major loss= 27, 284.88 m<sup>3</sup>/yr and minor loss=  $21,438.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr}$ )



## FIGURE 2: PRODUCTION & CONSUMPTION SITUATIONS

As the result from the study shows, about 27% of the system input volume was loss in the water supply system. From the total loss of water, much of water (68.67%) loss was due to system failure. This includes some unauthorized losses and water losses due to metering inaccuracies and the volume of water that was consumed but not paid.

## F. Water source and accessibility

Out of the total interviewed sampledHHs, 71.3% connected to existing water supply system and remaining 28.7% of sample HHs not connected (Table 3). Around 48% of the HHs gets their primary water from connections, 22.7% from public tap, and the remaining 29.3% get it from vendors and unprotected springs. Regarding to quality, 68.7% of HHs primary water source was satisfactory in quality andthe remaining 31.3% of the HHs use the primary TABLE III: EXISTING WATER SUPPLY STATUS

From the total system input volume (i.e. 262, 800m<sup>3</sup>/yr), 71,108.94 m<sup>3</sup>/yr(194.81 m<sup>3</sup>/day) was non-revenue water (NRW) and the remaining 191,691.06m<sup>3</sup>/yr (525.18m<sup>3</sup>/day) was revenue water (RW).

water with unsatisfactory quality. Around 74% of sampledHHs was below the basic access according to the WHO, i.e. 20l/c/d within 30min round trip.Approximately 1% of the sample respondents travel >0.5km distance to fetch their primary water and majority of the HHs travel a short distance, i.e. <0.2km to fetch their primary water sources; similarly, the majority of the respondents (51%) spent >5-30 min to collect drinking water, 45% of them < 5 min; the remaining 4% spent >30 min to collect their primary water.

Items	Descriptions	Percentage (%)
Connection status	Connected	71.3
Connection status	Not connected	28.7
Total (%)		100
	House Connection	6
Dimension	Own Yard Connection	38
Primary sources	Shared Yard Connection	4
	Public Tap	22.7

	Other (Vendor)	25.3
	Other(U.Spring)	4
Total (%)		100
Quality of Water	Satisfactory	68.7
Quality of water	Unsatisfactory	31.3
Total (%)		100
	>20	5
Average consumption (L/capita/day)	15-20	21
	<15	74
Total (%)		100
	<50	44
	50-200	49
Distance from source (iii)	200-500	6
	>500	1
Total (%)	·	100
	<5	45
Average time spent (min)	5-30,	51
	>30	4
Total (%)		100
	Yes	88.7
nns using anemative water	No	11.3
Total (%)		100
	Unprotected spring	15
	Hand dug well	37.6
Alternative source types	Public tap	21.8
	Vendors	17.3
	River	8.3
Total (%)		100
	Women	30.1
	Girls	36.8
Collector from alternative source	Boys	14.3
	Men	7.5
	All	11.3
Total (%)		100

Majority of the sample HHs (88.7%) use alternative water sources during the interruption of their primary water sources and, the remaining 11.3% of HHs do not use any other alternative sources. Majority of the HHs (52.6%) use hand- dug wells and unprotected springs for their alternative water sources, 21.8% *G. Population and Water Demand Projections* 

Depending on the main factors, living standards of the town and currently existing conditions, the design period selected in this case was 20 yr. from public taps and the remaining 25.6% of HHs use rivers and vendors as their alternative water sources. Most often (i.e. 36.8% of the cases) girls collect water from the alternative water sources (Table 3).

According to Central Statistical Authority (CSA, 2007) the population of the Areka town was 31,408. CSA method was used to forecast the future population of the town.

TABLE IV: ANNUAL POPULATION GROWTH RATE (THE MEDIUM VARIANT GROWTH RATE)

Year	1995- 2000	2001-05	2006-10	2011-15	2016-20	2021-25	2026-30
Growth rate	5.3	4.8	4.6	4.3	4.1	3.9	3.7
(%)							

(CSA, 1994)

To find the annual growth rate beyond the 2030, simple linear extrapolation technique was applied as it showed in the fig. (3); accordingly, the expected

annual growth rate for 2031-2035 is 3.5% and from 2036-2040 is 3.3%.



## FIGURE 3: LINEAR EXTRAPOLATION OF ANNUAL GROWTH RATE

TABLE V: PROJECTED POPULATION PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY EACH MODE OF SERVICE

Mode of Services	Year				
	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
House Connection (HC)	4.1%	5.0%	7.0%	8.2%	9.0%
Own Yard Connection (OYC)	34.8%	36.0%	38.9%	43.0%	47.3%
Shared Yard Connection (SYC)	17.6%	21.0%	23.8%	26.8%	30.1%
Public Tap (PT)	43.5%	38.0%	30.3%	22.0%	13.6%

#### TABLE VI: PROJECTED AVERAGE DOMESTIC PER CAPITA WATER DEMAND FOR EACH MODE OF SERVICES

Mode of Services	Year					
	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	
House Connection (HC)	50 l/c/d	60 l/c/d	70 l/c/d	80 l/c/d	90 l/c/d	
Own Yard Connection (OYC)	25 l/c/d	27.5 l/c/d	30 l/c/d	32.5 l/c/d	35 l/c/d	
Shared Yard Connection (SYC)	30 l/c/d	35 l/c/d	40 l/c/d	45 l/c/d	50 l/c/d	
Public Tap (PT)	20 l/c/d	22.5 l/c/d	25 l/c/d	27.5 l/c/d	30 l/c/d	

Depending on the given conditions of the town, its climatic factor (C.F.) is 0.9 and Socio- economic factor (S.F.) is 1.00 (ATWSSE, 2010). According to the design report water supply system of the town, non-domestic water demand was considered as 35%

of the total adjusted domestic water demand of the town. Since the projected population of the town above 50,000, the maximum day factor (MDF) was taken as 1.2 and the peak hourly factor (PHF) was taken as 1.7.

TABLE VII: SUMMARY OF PROJECTED	CURRENT AND	FUTURE POPUL	ATIONS AND V	WATER DEMANDS
TABLE VII. SOWIWART OF TROJECTED	CURRENTAND	TUTURETOTUE	ATIONS AND	WAILE DLMANDS

	TT •4		Year			-	
Descriptions	Unit	2020	2025	2030	3035	2040	
Population	No.	80,645	98,009	117,927	140,480	165,681	
	m <sup>3</sup> /d						
Domestic water demand		1857.3	2639.6	3733.3	5142.0	6913.6	
	m <sup>3</sup> /d						
Non domestic water demand		650.1	923.9	1306.6	1799.7	2419.8	
	m <sup>3</sup> /d	3008.9	4365.3	6199.1	8593.8	11666.7	
Average day demand	L/s						
		34.83	50.52	71.75	99.47	135.03	
Maximum day domand	m <sup>3</sup> /d	3610.7	5238.4	7438.9	10312.5	14000.1	
Maximum day demand	L/s	41.79	60.63	86.10	119.36	162.04	
	m <sup>3</sup> /d	5115.1	7421.0	10538.4	14609.4	19833.4	
Peak hourly demand	L/s						
		59.2	85.9	122.0	169.1	229.6	
Total Daily Supply into network	m <sup>3</sup> /d						
		3,008.9	4,365.3	6,199.1	8,593.8	11,666.7	
Total Annual Supply into network	m <sup>3</sup> /y	1,098,249	1,593,334	2,262,665	3,136,732	4,258,351	
Required annual production capacity	m <sup>3</sup> /y	1,098,249	1,593,334	2,262,665	3,136,732	4,258,351	

## H. Water supply and service level gaps

As the survey result from the town municipality shows, due to expansion of the town areas and rapid increment of population, the total number of population taken from the town municipality for the current year 2020 was 120,560. It was greater than the forecasted or expected population for the year 2020, i.e. 80,645. But, the supply of water for existing population as indicated in table below was 262,800m<sup>3</sup>/yr (720m<sup>3</sup>/day or 8.33 L/s) and it was much less than the required projected supply for the

year 2020, i.e. 10,98249m3/yr (3008.9 m3/day or 34.82 L/s). Due to these the shortage of existing supply, for the total existing 120,560 peoples, only 62,691 peoples get their water from existing water supply system of the town (Table 8). This shows the existing water supply coverage was only 51.9% and the remaining 48.1% of population do not get water from existing system. Existing per capita water demand for served population was only 11.48 l/c/d and it was much less than the required forecasted per capita water demand for the year 2020 i.e. 37.31 l/c/d

TABLE VIII: SUMMARY OF THE GAPS BETWEEN EXISTING AND REQUIRED ITEMS

Items	Existing	Expected	Gaps
Total population	120,560	80,645	39,915 (excess)
Served population	62,691	80,645	17,954
Water coverage (%)	51.9	100	48.1
Water production (L/s)	8.33	34.82	26.49
Per capita water $(L/c/d)$	11.48	37.31	25.83

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

This study was conducted to assess the existing and to forecast the future water supply and demand status or scenario of the Areka town. The findings lead to the conclusion that there is a huge gap between the water supply and demand of the town. Consequently, in order to satisfy the water demand of the town the following measures should be taken.

- Expansion activities that match with the town development must be carried out to meet the water requirements of the town.
- Additional water sources should be provided to increase total water production capacity of the town

## Abbreviations

AMCOWAfrica Ministers' Council on Water	n
ATWSSEAreka Water Supply and Sewerage Enterprise	Town
C.FClimat	ic Factor
CSACentral Statistical Agency	l
HCHouse Connection	
MDFMaxim Factor	um Day
NRWNon-R Water	evenue
OYCOwn Connection	Yard
PHFPeak Factor	Hour

## The concerned stakeholders should discharge their respective responsibility properly.

- The town Water Supply and Sewerage Enterprise should devise a mechanism so as to minimize the inequitable distribution of water among the residents of the town.
- Additional study should be conducted to find out other possible causes for water shortage so as to act accordingly.

РТ	Public Ta	р
RW	Revenue	Water
S.F Factor	Socio ec	onomic
SIV Volume	System	Input
SNNPRS Nationalities & Peoples Regio	Southern onal State	Nation
SPSS Package for Social Sciences	Statistica	l
SYC Connection	Shared	Yard
UN	United N	ations
WHO Organization	World	Health

#### **Bibliography**

[1] Arthur.C. 2014. Urban Water Supply and Sanitation in South East Asia. Philippines, Asia: ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK.

[2] ATWSSE. 2010. Areka town Water Supply Project Stage II Feasibility Study and Preliminary Design.

- [3] Bogale, B. 2016. Assessment of The Water Distribution Network of Metu Town Water Supply System, Ethiopia.Addis Ababa University.
- [4] CSA. 1994. Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey for SNNPRS. Central Statistical Agency. Addis Ababa: Central Statistical Agency.

- [5] CSA. 2007. The 2007 Population and Housing Census of Ethiopia Natural Statistical Summary Report, Central Statistics Agency. Addis Ababa: Central Statistics Agency.
- [6] Dharmaratna D, Harris E . 2010. Estimating Residential Water Demand Using the Stone-Geary Functional Form: The case of Sri Lanka.
- [7] Fink A, Kosecoff J. 1995. How to Conduct Survey: AStep to Step guide . Calif Stage publications.
- [8] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Areka. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Areka
- [9] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Water\_supply\_and\_sanitation\_in \_Ethiopia#Access. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Water\_supply\_and\_sanita tion\_in\_Ethiopia#Access

https://doie.org/10.1019/IJMRE.2021746091 Website: www.ijmre.com Volume No. 1, Issue. 9 118

- [10] Makonnen A. (2014). Assessment of Urban Water Supply and Consumption in Asayta Town, Awsiressu Zone, Afar National Regional State, Ethiopia, Thesis, Haramaya University.
- [11] Mandefro C, Habtamu K. 2017. Water supply and demand scenario of Dilla Town, Southern Ethiopia.
- [12] Rewata J, Sampath R. 2000. Performance Evaluation of Urban Water Supply in Tanzania; The case study of Dar es salaam, Tanzania. Water Resource Development16 (3). London, UK.
- [13] Temesgen M., Y. A. 2018. Water Supply Distribution System Design Using in Holeta Town Wolmera Woreda West

Shewa Zone of Oromia region, Ethiopia, Arba Minich university. Arbaminch, Ethiopia.

- [14] UN. 2012. The Millennium Development Goals Report. New York.
- [15] UNICEF. 2011. An AMCOW Country Status Overview; Water Supply and Sanitation in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa: Water and Sanitation Program.
- [16] WHO/UNICEF. 2017. Progress on drinking water and sanitation. UNICEF and.