

GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF A NEW SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS BY MEANS OF CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS

Fatunsin L. Modupe¹, Opoola T. O.²

¹Department of Mathematics Programmes,
National Mathematical Centre. Abuja. Nigeria.

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of physical sciences,
University of Ilorin. Nigeria.

¹mfatunsin@gmail.com

²opoola_stc@yahoo.com

Abstract— In this work, Opoola Differential Operator which is a generalization of Salagean Differential Operator and Al-Oboudi Differential Operator is used to define new subclasses of analytic univalent functions using subordination principle. Also, by means of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, coefficient estimates, upper bounds for the Fekete-Szego functional for the new subclasses defined are obtained.

Keywords— Analytic, Univalent, Opoola differential operator, Chebyshev polynomials, coefficient estimates, Fekete-Szego functional.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let A be the class of functions analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$. $f(z)$ is said to be in the class S if

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

with the conditions $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$.

Chebyshev polynomials which are of four kinds, are widely used in many areas like numerical solutions of ordinary and partial differential equations, analytic functions and so on. Many research journals are using the first and second kinds of Chebyshev polynomials because of its orthogonality property. See [2-4], [9] and [12].

The Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind in t of degree n can be defined as:

$$U_n(t) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin\theta}, \quad t = \cos\theta, \quad t \in [-1, 1]$$

The generating function for Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind is given as

$$H(z, t) = 1 + 2tz + (4t^2 - 1)z^2 + (8t^3 - 4t)z^3 + (16t^4 - 12t^2 + 1)z^4 + \dots \quad (1)$$

The Fekete-Szego inequality states that if

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots \quad (2)$$

is a univalent analytic function on the unit disk and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then

$$C(\lambda) = |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| < 1 + 2e^{\frac{-2\lambda}{1-\lambda}}$$

For a class of functions in A and a real number λ , the Fekete-Szego problem is all about finding the best possible $C(\lambda)$ for functions in A . Some of the researchers that have worked in this area are: [10], [13] and [20].

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic functions in the unit disk \mathbb{U} , then $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} written as $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exist a function $w(z)$ analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0)=0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ which is called the Schwarz function such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. If the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then $f(z) \prec g(z)$; $z \in \mathbb{U} \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$. see [16].

A function $f(z) \in S$ of the form (2) is star-like with respect to the unit disk \mathbb{U} if it maps a unit disk onto a star-like domain and it is denoted by S^* . A necessary and sufficient condition for $f(z)$ to be star-like is that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

An analytic function $f(z)$ is convex if maps \mathbb{U} conformally onto a convex domain and it is denoted by C . Equivalently, $f \in C$ if and only if it satisfies the following condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

II PRELIMINARIES

Lemma 1. [6]

If $p \in P$ with the series expansion $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots, z \in \mathbb{U}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$ and $p(0) = 1$. Then $|p_n| \leq 2, n = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Lemma 2. [14]

Let the function $p \in P$ be given by the series (2). Then for any complex number σ ,

$$|p_2 - \sigma p_1^2| \leq 2 \max \{1, |2\sigma - 1|\}$$

And the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Lemma 3. [15]

If $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots$ is analytic function with positive real parts in \mathbb{U} , then

$$|p_2 - vp_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4v + 2, & \text{if } v \leq 0 \\ 2, & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ 4v - 2, & \text{if } v \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Opoola Differential Operator. [19]: For $p \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu \leq \beta, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{U}$, the Opoola differential operator $D^n(p, \mu, \beta)f: A \rightarrow A$ is defined as follows

$$D^0(p, \mu, \beta)f(z) = f(z)$$

$$D^1(p, \mu, \beta)f(z) = zf'(z) - z(\beta - \mu)p + (1 + (\beta - \mu - 1)p)f(z)$$

$$D^n(p, \mu, \beta)f(z) = (D^{(n-1)}(p, \mu, \beta)f(z))' = z + \sum_{k=1}^n [1 + (k + \beta - \mu - 1)\lambda]^n a_k z^k \quad (3)$$

This operator was studied by the author in [8].

Remark 1:

- When $p = 1, \mu = \beta$, then $D^n(p, \mu, \beta)f(z)$ becomes the Salagean differential operator.[22]
- When $\mu = \beta$, then $D^n(p, \mu, \beta)f(z)$ becomes the Al-Oboudi differential operator.[1]

Definition: For $t \in (0,1]$, a function $f(z)$ given by (2), analytic in the unit disk \mathbb{U} is in the class $SC(n, b, \mu, \beta, p, U_n(t))$ if it satisfies the subordination condition below

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{z(D^n(\mu, \beta, p)f(z))'}{D^n(\mu, \beta, p)f(z)} - 1 \right\} \prec H(z, t) \quad (4)$$

Where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, D^n(\mu, \beta, p)f(z)$ is given by equation (3) and $H(z, t)$ is given by eq.(1).

Remark 2 :

when $H(z, t)$ is replaced with $\phi(z)$ (see [15]) in equation (4), then the following remarks hold

- When $n = 0$, equation (4) becomes the class $S_b^*(\phi)$ introduced by [21].
- When $n = 0, 1, b = p = 1, \beta = \mu$, equation (4) become the classes of functions known as Ma-Minda star-like and convex functions respectively. See [15].
- When $n = 1, \beta = \mu, p = 1$, equation (4) becomes the class $C_b^*(\phi)$ introduced by [21].

III RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR FUNCTIONS IN THE CLASS $SC(n, b, \mu, \beta, p, U_n(t))$

Theorem 1: Let the function $f \in A$ given by (2). If the function f is in the class $SC(n, b, \mu, \beta, p, U_n(t)), t \in (0,1], \theta \in [0, \pi]$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2t|b|}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)p]^n}$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)p]^n} \left| bt - \frac{1}{2}(b\{2t - 4t^2 + 1\} - b^2 4t^2) \right|$$

$$|a_4| \leq \frac{1}{3[1 + (\beta - \mu + 3)p]^n} |t^3(8b + 4b^3 + 12b^2) - t(4b + 3b^2)|$$

$$|a_5| \leq \frac{1}{4[1 + (\beta - \mu + 4)p]^n} \left| t^4(72b^2 - 16b + 24b^4) + t^3(40b^2 + 48b - 32b^3) - t^2(76b^2 + 12b + 144b^3) - t(24b - 18b^2) - 36b^3 + \frac{37b^2}{2} + 5b \right| \quad (5)$$

Proof:

If $f(z) \in SC(n, b, \mu, \beta, p, U_n(t))$, then there exist a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$, analytic in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0, |\omega(z)| < 1$ such that from the definition of subordination and equation(4)

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{z(D^n(\mu, \beta, p)f(z))'}{D^n(\mu, \beta, p)f(z)} - 1 \right\} = H(\omega(z), t)$$

From the definition of Chebyshev polynomial,

$$H(\omega(z), t) = 1 + U_1(t)(\omega(z)) + U_2(t)(\omega(z))^2 + U_3(t)(\omega(z))^3 + U_4(t)(\omega(z))^4 + \dots$$

Since $\omega(z)$ is a Schwarz function then the function $p(z)$ is defined as

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} = 1 + p_1(z) + p_2z^2 + \dots$$

Which implies that

$$\omega(z) = \frac{p_1z}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_3 - p_1p_2 + \frac{p_1^3}{4} \right) z^3 + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_4 - p_1p_3 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} + \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^4}{8} \right) z^4 + \dots$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\omega(z), t) = & \frac{U_1(t)p_1z}{2} + \left[\frac{U_1(t)}{2} \left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right) + U_2(t) \left(\frac{p_1^2}{4} \right) \right] z^2 \\
 & + \left[\frac{U_1(t)}{2} \left(p_3 - p_1p_2 + \frac{p_1^3}{4} \right) + \frac{U_2(t)}{2} \left(p_1p_2 - \frac{p_1^3}{2} \right) + U_3(t) \left(\frac{p_1^3}{8} \right) \right] z^3 \\
 & + \left[\frac{U_1(t)}{2} \left(p_4 - p_1p_2p_3 - \frac{p_1^3}{2} + \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^4}{8} \right) + \frac{U_2(t)}{2} \left(p_1p_2p_3 + \frac{p_1^3}{2} - \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{3p_1^4}{8} \right) + \frac{U_3(t)}{8} \left(3p_1^2p_2 - \frac{3p_1^4}{2} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{U_4(t)p_1^4}{16} \right] z^4 + \dots \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{z(D^n(\mu, \beta, \rho)f(z))'}{D^n(\mu, \beta, \rho)f(z)} - 1 \right\} \\
 & = \frac{1}{b} \left\{ [1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^n a_2 z + (2[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n a_3 - [1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{2n} a_2^2) z^2 \right. \\
 & + (3[1 + (\beta - \mu + 3)\rho]^n a_4 - 3[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^n [1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n a_2 a_3 \\
 & + [1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^{2n} a_2^2) z^3 \\
 & + (4[1 + (\beta - \mu + 4)\rho]^n a_5 - 4[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^n [1 + (\beta - \mu + 3)\rho]^n a_2 a_4 \\
 & - 2[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{2n} a_3^2 + 4[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{2n} [1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n a_2^2 a_3 \\
 & \left. - [1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{4n} a_2^4 + \dots \right\} \quad (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of the powers of z in equations (5) and (6) and using lemma 1, the result of equation follows:

Corollary 1: if $f(z) \in SC(0, b, \mu, \beta, \rho, U_n(t))$, $t \in (0, 1]$, then $|a_2| \leq 2t|b|$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_3| & \leq 2t^2 |b + b^2| + \frac{1}{3} \\
 |a_4| & \leq \frac{1}{3} |t^3 \{8b + 4b^3 + 12b^2\} - t(4b + 3b^2)| \\
 |a_5| & \leq \frac{1}{4} |t^4 \{72b^2 - 16b + 24b^4\} + t^3 \{40b^2 + 48b - 32b^3\} - t^2 \{76b^2 + 12b + 144b^3 - t(24b - 18b^2) - \frac{37b^2}{2} + 5b\}|
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2:

When $SC(n, b, \mu, \beta, \rho, U_n(t))$ is reduced to $S(0, b, \phi(z))$, studied in [21].

We proceed to solve the Fekete-Szego problem for the new class of function defined when σ is complex

FEKETE-SZEGO INEQUALITY FOR FUNCTIONS IN THE CLASS $SC(n, b, \mu, \beta, \rho, U_n(t))$

Theorem 2: If $f(z)$ given by equation (2) belongs to the class of functions $SC(n, b, \mu, \beta, \rho, U_n(t))$, then for any complex number $\sigma, t \in (0, 1], \theta \in [0, \pi]$

$$|a_3 - \sigma a_2^2| \leq \frac{bt}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n} \max \left[1, \left| \frac{4t^2 - 1}{2t} + 2\sigma \frac{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{2n}} - 1 \right| 2bt \right]$$

$$b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq \beta, \quad \rho \geq 0, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$$

Proof:

From equation (5), the Fekete-Szego inequality can be written as

$$|a_3 - \sigma a_2^2| \leq \left| \frac{bt}{2[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n} \{p_2 - \nu p_1^2\} \right|$$

Where $\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{4t^2 - 1}{2t} + \left(2\sigma \frac{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{2n}} - 1 \right) 2bt \right\}$ On application of lemmas 1 and 2, the result follows.

Corollary 3:

If $f(z) \in SC(b, \phi(z))$, then

It reduces the class of functions studied in [21].

Next, we consider the case when δ is real in the following theorem

Theorem 3: Let $b > 0, t \in (0, 1]$ and let $f(z)$ be given by equation (2) belongs to the class of functions $SC(n, b, \mu, \beta, \rho, U_n(t))$. Then for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} k \left[-2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{4t^2 - 1}{2t} + (2\delta q - 1)2bt \right\} + 2 \right] & \text{if } \delta \leq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2 - 2t - 1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\} \\ 2k & \text{if } \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2 - 2t - 1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\} \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2 + 2t - 1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\} \\ k \left[2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{4t^2 - 1}{2t} + (2\delta q - 1)2bt \right\} - 2 \right] & \text{if } \delta \geq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2 - 2t - 1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\} \end{cases}$$

Where $k = \frac{1}{2[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n}$

Proof:

Let $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2 - 2t - 1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\}$ then from equation (5), the Fekete-Szego inequality can be written as

$$|a_3 - \sigma a_2^2| \leq \left| \frac{bt}{2[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n} \{p_2 - \nu p_1^2\} \right|$$

Where $\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{4t^2 - 1}{2t} + \left(2\delta \frac{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{2n}} - 1 \right) 2bt \right\}$

On application of lemma 3, the following cases are considered;

Case 1:

$|a_2 - \nu a_1^2| \leq -4\nu + 2$, if $\nu \leq 0$ this implies that

$$|a_2 - \nu a_1^2| = -4\nu + 2 = -4 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{4t^2 - 1}{2t} + \left(2\delta \frac{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{2n}} - 1 \right) 2bt \right] \right\} + 2$$

That is when

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{4t^2 - 1}{2t} + \left(2\delta \frac{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{2n}} - 1 \right) 2bt \right\} \leq 0,$$

Let $\frac{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)\rho]^n}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)\rho]^{2n}} = q$, then

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq k \left[-2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{4t^2-1}{2t} + (2\delta q - 1)2bt \right\} + 2 \right] \text{ if } \delta \leq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2-2t-1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\} \quad (8)$$

Case 2:

$$|a_2 - \nu a_1^2| \leq 2, \text{ if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1$$

If $0 \leq \nu$, it implies that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{4t^2-1}{2t} + \left(2\delta \frac{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)p]^n}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)p]^{2n}} - 1 \right) 2bt \right\} \geq 0$$

Then $\delta \geq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2-2t-1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\}$

And if $\nu \leq 1$, it implies that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{4t^2-1}{2t} + \left(2\delta \frac{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 2)p]^n}{[1 + (\beta - \mu + 1)p]^{2n}} - 1 \right) 2bt \right\} \leq 1$$

Which also implies that

$$\delta \leq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2+2t-1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\}$$

So,

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq 2k \text{ if } 0 \leq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2-2t-1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\} \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2+2t-1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\} \quad (9)$$

Case 3:

$$|a_2 - \nu a_1^2| \leq 4\nu - 2, \text{ if } \nu \geq 1$$

then

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq k \left[2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{4t^2-1}{2t} + (2\delta q - 1)2bt \right\} - 2 \right] \text{ if } \delta \geq \frac{1}{2q} \left\{ \frac{4t^2-2t-1}{4bt^2} + 1 \right\} \quad (10)$$

Hence, from equations (8), (9) and (10), the result of theorem 3 holds.

Conclusion: Opoola differential equation, see [19], when some conditions are set in, is a generalization of both Salagean and Al-Oboudi differential operators. This operator was used to define a new subclass of analytic and univalent functions. New results were obtained such as the proofs of theorem 1-3 and corollary 1. Also, the generalization of the existing results such as Remarks 1 and 2, corollaries 2 and 3 were obtained in this work.

Conflict of interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] Al-Oboudi, F. M. On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator. *Int.J. math.sci.* (25-28)2004; 1429-143.

[2] Altinkaya, S., Yalcin, S. On the Chebyshev polynomial bounds for classes of univalent functions. *Khayyam Journal of Mathematics.* 2(2016); 1(1-5).

[3] Altinkaya, S., Yalcin, S. On the Chebyshev coefficients for a general subclass of univalent functions. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics.* (2018)42: 2885-2890. doi:10.3906/mat-1510-53

[4] Benjamin, S. *The Chebyshev Polynomials: Patterns and Derivation.* The National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. 2004; 98(1).

[5] Bieberbach, L. "Über die Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln", *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys-Math.* 1916; 940-955.

[6] Duren, P. L. *Univalent Functions,* Springer, New York, NY. USA. 1983.

[7] Fekete, M., Szego, G. Eine bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte Funktionen, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society.* 1.2 (1933): 85-89.

[8] Fatunsin, L. M., Opoola, T. O. New results on subclasses of analytic functions defined by Opoola differential operator. *Journal of Mathematics Science System.* 7(2017); 289-295. doi:10.17265/2159-5291/2017.10.003.

[9] Ganesh, K., Bharavi, S. R, Hari, P. M. Estimation of coefficient bounds for a subclass of analytic functions using Chebyshev polynomials. *The 11th National conference on Mathematical Techniques and Applications, AIP Conf. Pro.* 2019; 2112, 020146-1-020146-14; doi:doi.org/10.1063/1.51123311.

[10] Gurmeet, S. Fekete-Szego inequality for a new class and its certain subclasses of analytic functions. *General math. Notes,* vol.21, 1, march 2014: 86-96.

[11] Goodman, A. W. *Univalent functions and non-Analytic Curves.* *Proceeding American mathematical Society.* 1957; 8(3): 598-601.

[12] Jacek, D., Ravinda, K. R., Janusz, S. Application of Chebyshev polynomials to classes of analytic functions. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. ser.I,* 353(2015), 433-438.

[13] Kanas, S., Darwish, H. E. Fekete-Szego problems for star-like and convex functions of complex order. *Applied Mathematics Letter;* 23(2010):778-782.

[14] Keogh, F. R., Merkes, E. P. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1969;20(1969): 8-12.

[15] Ma, W., Minda, C. D. A unified treatment of some special classes of functions. *Proceedings of the Conference on complex analysis;* 1994.

[16] Miller, S. S., Mocanu, P. T. *Differential Subordination. Theory and Applications,* Marcel-Dekker Inc., New York; 2000.

[17] Nasr, M.A., Aouf, M. K. On convex functions of complex order. *Mansoura Sci. Bull.* 1982; 565-582.

[18] Nasr, M. A., Aouf, M.K. Star-like functions of complex order. *J. Natur. Sci. Math.*1985; (25): 1-12.

[19] Opoola, T. O. On a Subclass of Univalent Functions Defined by a Generalised Differential Operator, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis.* . 2017; 11(18): 869-876. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2017.7252>.

[20] Panigrahi, T., Murugusundaramoorthy, G. The Fekete-Szego inequality for subclass of analytic functions of complex order. *Advanced studies in contemporary mathematics.* 2014; vol. 1(1).

[21] Ravichandran, V., Yasar, P., Metin, B., Arzu, S. Certain subclasses of star-like and convex functions of complex order. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics.* 2005; 34(2005): 9-15.

[22] Salagean, G. S. Subclasses of Univalent Functions. *Complex Analysis. Fifth Romanian Seminar, Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* 1983; 1013: Springer,Berlin. 362-372.